

# Static and (symmetry based) semi-static replication strategies in actuarial science

[Michael Schmutz](#)

*Universities of Bern*

joint work with

T. Rheinländer (*Vienna University of Technology*)

T. Zürcher (*University of Jyväskylä*)

February 28, 2013

## Usual starting point: Vanilla options

$S_T$  is the terminal price of  $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ .

- **Call**: right to buy an asset at time  $T$  at price  $k$ ; payoff:  $(S_T - k)_+$ .
- **Put**: right to sell an asset at time  $T$  at price  $k$ ; payoff:  $(k - S_T)_+$ .

## Idea

*Static replication* is the replication of complicated and illiquid claims with a buy and hold strategy in simpler and more liquidly traded claims.

*Semi-static replication* is the replication of path-dependent contracts by trading in European-style claims at no more than two times after inception.

In the *symmetry based* case (special case)

- (i) Use *model dependent symmetries* or *quasi-symmetries* to handle the path-dependency (reduction to a very simple hedging strategy based on European-style claims).
- (ii) Decompose the resulting, potentially very complicated and illiquid European-style claims in simpler and more liquidly traded claims. This can often be done in a *model independent* way with the help of a *static replication*.

## Examples of potential applications of semi-static hedging in Actuarial Sciences

- Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits (GMWB)
  - In simple cases (Y. Liu (2010)<sup>a</sup>, A. Milevsky & S. Salisbury (2006)<sup>b</sup>): Variable annuity and the GMWB together are equivalent to an annuity certain plus an Asian call option.
  - Y. Liu (2010): Derive semi-static hedges based on traded European options.

---

<sup>a</sup>Pricing and hedging the Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits in Variable Annuities. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Waterloo; see also A. Kolkiewicz, Y. Liu (2012), Semi-static hedging for GMWB in variable Annuities, North American Actuarial Journal.

<sup>b</sup>Financial valuation of Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits, Insurance Math. and Economics.

- Marked Value Margin (Risk Margin): Some regulators insist that only liquidly traded instruments can be used for hedging.

~> Apply P. Carr, L. Wu (2002, 2009)<sup>a</sup>. Hedge long term European vanilla options with short term European vanilla options (semi-static). Needs a suitable Markovian setting and is based on  $0 \leq u \leq T$

$$C(S, t; K, T) = \int_0^\infty w(k)C(S, t, k, u)dk \approx \sum_{j=1}^N W_j C(S, t; k_j, u) .$$

- Missing long term instrument, which could be used for “usual” hedging. Depending on the situation, the above result could possibly be helpful.
- Decomposition of complicated payoff functions in standard instruments (next slides).
- Further applications in the unit-linked insurance business. . .

...

---

<sup>a</sup>Working paper: <http://www.math.nyu.edu/research/carrp/papers/pdf/statchedgedge22.pdf> .

## Well-known static-hedging formula for $n = 1$

P. Carr, D. Madan (1998)<sup>a</sup>

For sufficiently regular payoff functions  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (i.e. the claim payoff will be  $f(S_T)$ ) we have for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \int_a^\infty f''(k)(x-k)_+ dk + \int_0^a f''(k)(k-x)_+ dk$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

For  $a = F$  this is a static hedge with bonds, forwards, and lots of vanilla options. Also particularly popular is  $a = 0$  (no puts are needed anymore).

G. Bakshi, D. Madan, (2000)<sup>b</sup>: Representable functions are dense in  $L^1$ .

---

<sup>a</sup>Towards a theory of volatility trading. In: Jarrow (ed.) Volatility. Risk Publications.

<sup>b</sup>Spanning and derivative-security valuation. J. of Financial Economics.

## A simple mathematically natural extension to OTC products leads to traffic light options

*Traffic light options* are European options with payoffs of the form

$$(k_1 - S_{T1})_+(k_2 - S_{T2})_+, \quad (S_{T1} - k_1)_+(S_{T2} - k_2)_+, \quad \text{etc.}$$

For the original reason of their introduction, see P. L. Jørgensen (2007)<sup>a</sup> (paper about valuation aspects):

“These innovative options have been developed independently by several London-based investment banks. [. . .] They have been developed to suit the needs of **Danish life and pension companies**.”

---

<sup>a</sup>Traffic light options. J. of Banking and Finance.

## Possible bivariate static-hedging formula

For sufficiently regular payoff functions  $f : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= f(0, 0) + f_1(0, 0)x + f_2(0, 0)y + f_{12}(0, 0)xy \\ &+ \int_0^\infty f_{11}(k_1, 0)(x - k_1)_+ + f_{121}(k_1, 0)y(x - k_1)_+ dk_1 \\ &+ \int_0^\infty f_{22}(0, k_2)(y - k_2)_+ + f_{122}(0, k_2)x(y - k_2)_+ dk_2 \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f_{1221}(k_1, k_2)(x - k_1)_+(y - k_2)_+ dk_1 dk_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Representable functions are again dense in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ .

Hedges based on **other bivariate building blocks** can be obtained by a slight economical reinterpretation of results presented in J. Baldeaux and M.

Rutkowski (2007, 2010)<sup>a</sup>.

---

<sup>a</sup>Static Replication of Forward-Start Claims and Realized Variance Swaps. Appl. Math. Finance .



## Immediate questions

- **Practical** point of view: Most of the above formulae use continuums of strikes. How about realistic cases with **finite strikes**?
- **Theoretical** point of view: What is the meaning of “**sufficiently regular**”?

## **Finite strike cases**

As far as this part of the presentation is concerned we refer to M.S. and T. Züricher, “Static hedging with traffic light options”, Journal of Futures Markets, to appear.

## Back to $n = 1$ and static hedges

What  $f$  is *sufficiently regular* to admit the decomposition

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \int_a^\infty f''(k)(x-k)_+ dk + \int_0^a f''(k)(k-x)_+ dk$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  holding for  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ?

- G. Bakshi, D. Madan (2000) assume **two times continuously differentiable** (recall  $\implies$  dense in  $L^1$ ).
- Most frequently used assumption is **two times differentiable**.
- J. Baldeaux, M. Rutkowski (2007, 2010) as well as P. Carr, R. Lee, (2009)<sup>a</sup> assume that the payoff function is a **difference of two convex functions** (where  $f'(h)$  exists pointwise at some  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ) for a very similar formula based on generalised functions.
- For even less regular functions P. Carr, R. Lee (2009) present an approximation approach.
- Other authors remark (again with a hint to generalised functions) that **any** European payoff function can be represented in this way (in the above formulation or for the special case of  $a = 0$ ).

**Clearly the needed regularity depends on the used integral, i.e. on the meaning of the integral expressions.**

---

<sup>a</sup>Put-call symmetry: Extensions and applications. Math. Finance.

## Lebesgue integral with respect to the Lebesgue measure

*The meaning of the integral expressions is different in many articles.*

- Sufficient:  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $f'$  being locally absolutely continuous
  - no guarantee that the second derivative exists *everywhere*,
  - is implied by the assumption of two times continuous differentiability.
- However, we *cannot skip the continuity* of the second derivative without assuming that the first derivative is locally absolutely continuous (corresponding (counter) examples can be derived for e.g.  $a = 0$ ).
- Economically important: A counter example for e.g.  $a = 0$  does not mean that there is no hedge based on bonds, forwards and vanilla options, it only shows that we cannot skip the puts.
- Already the structure of the hedge implies some remarkable regularity.

## Static replication with respect to Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals

Assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the difference of two convex functions whose right derivatives in  $0$  are finite. Suppose that  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'_r(a)(x-a) + \int_{(a, \infty)} (x-k)_+ df'_r(k) + \int_{[0, a]} (k-x)_+ df'_r(k)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

- Integral expressions are of Lebesgue-Stieltjes type,
- includes the particularly popular case  $a = 0$ ,
- for  $a \in (0, \infty)$  it is possible to derive a *similar* representation for the difference of two **continuous (on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ )** convex functions,
- however, in particular typical discontinuous functions are not included (approximations needed).

Regularity for replications based on traffic-light options. . .

## Related side note: Reflected risk-neutral distributions

Breeden–Litzenberger (1978)<sup>a</sup>: Risk-neutral densities can be extracted from vanilla-options prices (second strike derivatives).

E.g.: Assume a risk-neutral absolutely continuous setting with continuous Lebesgue density denoted by  $q: \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then for  $k_1, k_2 > 0$

$$q(k_1, k_2) = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial k_1 \partial k_2 \partial k_1 \partial k_2} (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}[(k_1 - S_{T1})_+ (k_2 - S_{T2})_+]).$$

---

<sup>a</sup>Prices of state-contingent claims implicit in options prices. J. of Business.



- The cdf. can be obtained by

$$\mathbf{Q}(S_{T1} \leq k_1, S_{T2} \leq k_2) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_1 \partial k_2} (\mathbf{E}[(k_1 - S_{T1})_+ (k_2 - S_{T2})_+]).$$

- Not all well-known options efficiently reflect the density (the extent of non-uniqueness is characterised for some products, see e.g. I. Molchanov, M.S. (2011)<sup>a</sup>).

---

<sup>a</sup>Exchangeability type properties of asset prices. Adv. Appl. Probab.

## Symmetries in these risk-neutral settings $\Rightarrow$ possibility for semi-static hedges

$S_T = F\eta$  is the terminal price of  $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ .

- **Call**: right to buy an asset at time  $T$  at price  $k$ ; payoff:  $(F\eta - k)_+$
- **Put**: right to sell an asset at time  $T$  at price  $k$ ; payoff:  $(k - F\eta)_+$

Prices in simple cases

$$\text{call} \quad \mathbf{Call}(k, F) = e^{-rT} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F\eta - k)_+$$

$$\text{put} \quad \mathbf{Put}(k, F) = e^{-rT} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}(k - F\eta)_+$$

$\mathbf{Q}$  is a suitable martingale measure:  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}\eta = 1$ ,  $e^{-rT}$  a discount factor.

## Geometric Brownian motion, $\eta$ log-normal

Black–Scholes formula  $\mathbf{Call}(k, F) = e^{-rT} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F\eta - k)_+ =$

$$= e^{-rT} \left\{ F \underbrace{\Phi\left(\frac{\log(F/k) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)}_{d_1} - k \underbrace{\Phi\left(\frac{\log(F/k) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)}_{d_2} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \max(F\eta, k) = F\Phi(d_1) + k\Phi(-d_2),$$

where  $k, F > 0$ ,

$$d_1 = c + \frac{1}{2c} \log \frac{F}{k}, \quad -d_2 = c + \frac{1}{2c} \log \frac{k}{F}, \quad c = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sqrt{T}.$$

## Symmetry, Bates' rule

Hence,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \max(F\eta, k) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \max(F, k\eta),$$

and (with  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}\eta = 1$ )

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F\eta - k)_+ = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}(F - k\eta)_+ \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0,$$

i.e. **Bates' rule**<sup>a</sup>. holds:

$$\mathbf{Call}(k, F) = \mathbf{Put}(F, k).$$

---

<sup>a</sup>E.g. The skewness premium: Option pricing under asymmetric processes. Adv. in Futures and Option Research (1997).

## Symmetries beyond log-normality / self-duality

European **put-call symmetry** is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(F\eta - k)_+ = \mathbf{E}(F - k\eta)_+ \quad \text{for every } k \geq 0.$$

Holds for *certain* (but far beyond Black–Scholes) models.

**Equivalently:** For  $f : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$  being an arbitrary payoff function

$$\mathbf{E}(f(\eta)) = \mathbf{E}(f(\eta^{-1})\eta).$$

***Main application:*** *Semi-static hedging* of certain path dependent options, i.e. the replication of these contracts by trading *European-style* claims at no more than *two* times after inception.

# Some historic remarks on symmetry based semi-static hedging

Among many others!!!

- *Bates' rule:*  
D.S. Bates. In particular: The skewness premium. Adv. Fut. Options Res., (1997); see also J. Bowie and P. Carr. Static simplicity, Risk, (1994).
- *Semi-static hedge of barrier options* (based on J. Bowie and P. Carr / P. Carr and A. Chou):  
P. Carr, K. Ellis, V. Gupta. J. Finance, (1998); N. El Karoui, M. Jeanblanc. Finance, (1999); P. Carr, R. Lee. Math. Finance, (2009).
- Particularly focussed on symmetries *Lévy markets / continuous martingales:*  
J. Fajardo, E. Mordecki. Symmetry and duality. Quant. Finance, (2006). /  
M. Tehranchi. Symmetric martingales and symmetric smiles. Stochastic Process. Appl., (2009).
- *Multiasset cases:* I. Molchanov, M. S., SIAM J. on Fin. Math. / Adv. Appl. Probab. (2010/2011).
- Empirical performance: M. Fengler, B. Engelmann, M. Nalholm, P. Schwendner. Rev. of Derivatives Research, (2006) / M. Fengler, J. H. Maruhn, M. Nalholm. Quant. Finance, (2011).
- Structural results *symmetries:* T. Rheinländer, M. S., Stochastic Process. Appl., (2013).

## Quasi self-dual processes

An adapted positive process  $S$  is **conditionally quasi self-dual** if for any stopping time  $\tau \leq T$  and any non-negative Borel function  $f$  it holds

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \left[ f \left( \frac{S_T}{S_\tau} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right] = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \left[ \left( \frac{S_T}{S_\tau} \right)^\alpha f \left( \frac{S_\tau}{S_T} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_\tau \right],$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The conditional self-dual case is given by  $\alpha = 1$ .



## Example of an application in semi-static hedging

Certain univariate hedging techniques based on **transformed PCS** (Carr and Lee, 2009) are applicable, e.g.

$$X = f(S_T) \mathbb{I}_{\{\exists t \in [0, T], S_t \leq H\}},$$

with  $f$  being an integrable payoff function ( $S_0 \geq H$ ,  $H > 0$ ).

Replication (not always exact) by the **European** claim

$$f(S_T) \mathbb{I}_{\{S_T \leq H\}} + \left(\frac{S_T}{H}\right)^\alpha f\left(\frac{H^2}{S_T}\right) \mathbb{I}_{\{S_T \geq H\}}. \quad (1)$$

- If  $X$  never knocks in  $\Rightarrow$  the claim in (1) expires worthless.
- If the barrier is hit, we can exchange (1) for a claim on  $f(S_T)$  at zero costs (continuous cases).

By quasi self-duality we have on  $\{\tau_H \leq T\}$ , for a continuous  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} [ f (S_T) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} ] \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left[ f (S_T) \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \leq H\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[ f (S_T) \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \geq H\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} \right] \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left[ f (S_T) \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \leq H\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \frac{S_T}{H} \right)^\alpha f \left( \frac{H^2}{S_T} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{H^2}{S_T} \geq H\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} \right] \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left[ f (S_T) \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \leq H\}} + \left( \frac{S_T}{H} \right)^\alpha f \left( \frac{H^2}{S_T} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \geq H\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau_H} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

## Comments on $\alpha$

Recall that the hedge is given by

$$f(S_T) \mathbb{I}_{\{S_T \leq H\}} + \left(\frac{S_T}{H}\right)^\alpha f\left(\frac{H^2}{S_T}\right) \mathbb{I}_{\{S_T > H\}}.$$

- The **hedge depends crucially on  $\alpha$** .  
GBM case (Carr & Chou (1997)<sup>a</sup>):  $\alpha = 1 - 2\lambda/\sigma_{BS}^2$ .
- Complicated products and/or “nontrivial”  $\alpha$  lead to **complicated semi-static hedges**  $\Rightarrow$  further **static step**.

---

<sup>a</sup>Breaking Barriers. Risk.

- It is a priori not clear that  $\alpha$  can be derived for other asset price models given some carrying costs. Furthermore, it is natural to ask, whether an existing  $\alpha$  is unique.

Related to these questions

- we show that both is not always the case (for non-existence results in diffusion-based models, see in particular also Bardos et al., (2002)<sup>a</sup>),
- we discuss general structural properties of stochastic processes with (similar) symmetry properties,
- we discuss existence and how to concretely derive  $\alpha$  in very popular models (crucial in order to simultaneously ensure “absence of arbitrage” and quasi self-duality, i.e. the concrete possibility to hedge in a consistent way),
- etc. (see in particular also P. Carr, R. Lee (2009)).

---

<sup>a</sup>Static Hedging of Barrier Options with a Smile: An Inverse Problem. ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations.

## Quasi self-duality for exponential Lévy models

Let  $S = e^{\lambda t} \exp(X)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and a Lévy-process  $X$ ,  $X_0 = 0$ , with triplet  $(\gamma, \sigma^2, \nu)$ , such that  $S_t$  and  $(S_t)^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , are integrable for a  $t > 0$ .<sup>a</sup> Then  $S$  is **quasi self-dual** of order  $\alpha$  with  $\exp(X)$  being a **martingale** if and only if the following conditions hold.<sup>b</sup>

(i) The Lévy measure satisfies

$$\nu(dx) = e^{-\alpha x} \nu(-dx),$$

i.e.  $\nu(B) = \int_{-B} e^{\alpha x} d\nu(x)$ .

(ii) The process  $S^\alpha$  is a martingale.

(iii) The process  $\exp(X)$  is a martingale.

---

<sup>a</sup>For the symmetric case, i.e.  $\alpha = 1$ , see J. Fajardo and E. Mordecki. Symmetry and duality in Lévy markets. Quant. Finance (2006).

<sup>b</sup>Characterisation via stochastic exponential is possible, but differs considerably from the continuous case.

## Finding $\alpha$

To ensure the two martingale properties simultaneously we need that the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are related by

$$\lambda = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \int \left( e^x - x e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha x} \mathbb{I}_{|x| \leq 1} - 1 \right) \nu(dx),$$

for a slightly different approach leading to the same equation, see I. Molchanov & M.S. (2010).

- **A priori not completely clear how to solve this for given  $\lambda$**   
( $\nu$  usually depends on  $\alpha$ ).
- **Solution(s) may not exist.**

### Friendly special cases

- Satisfied for  $\lambda = 0$  in self-dual risk-neutral cases ( $\alpha = 1$ ).
- For vanishing  $\nu$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{\sigma^2}$ . (Cf. P. Carr, A. Chou (1997))

Recall the “problematic” formula given by

$$\lambda = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \int \left( e^x - x e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha x} \mathbb{I}_{|x| \leq 1} - 1 \right) \nu(dx) .$$

Based on another technical result, closed form inversion formulas are derived for very important Lévy driven models, namely for *NIG-models*, *VG-models*, and the *Meixner-models*.

E.g. in the *NIG* case the (family) of formulae is of the form

$$\alpha_{a,d}(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda \frac{\sqrt{4a^2 d^2 - d^2 - \lambda^2}}{d\sqrt{\lambda^2 + d^2}} ,$$

where  $a, d$ , are suitable model parameters, and  $\lambda$  still represents carrying costs.

⇒ We end up with applications.

**Thank you for your attention!!**